

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,817		09/17/2003	Harry A. Dugger III	3633-038-999	4051
20583	7590	01/06/2005		EXAMINER	
JONES I			HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA		
	Γ41ST ST RK, NY 1	0017	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1616		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3								
		Application No.	Applicant	(s)				
		10/663,817	DUGGER,	DUGGER, HARRY A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mina Haghighat						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover	r sheet with the corresponde	ence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howerion. In a reply within the statutory mire period will apply and will expire a statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication. 133).				
Status				·				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 September 2004.						
,	•	This action is non-fina	al.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are pending in the appleal 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the appleal are subject.	thdrawn from consider						
Applicati	on Papers			, ,				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) 🗌 .	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8	35(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cath or declaration is objected to by the cath or declaration is objected to by the cath of the cathering sheet (s) including s							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been rece ments have been rece e priority documents ha sureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ave been received in this Na (a)).					
Attachment	t(s)							
1) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sr_No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applicat Other:	ion (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

The receipt of the Response and amendments filed 09/24/04 is acknowledged. Claims 14, 17-20 and 22 have been amended and claims 1-13 cancelled. Accordingly claims 14-22 are pending and under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claims 14 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanios et al (5,719,197) is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of claim 15-16 and 18-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanios et al (5,719,197) in view of Singer et al (5,364,616) is maintained.

Double Patenting

The rejection of claim 20 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of US Patent No. 6,676,931 is

Art Unit: 1616

maintained. It is noted Applicant stated that when the claims are otherwise deemed allowable, necessary steps will be taken to overcome this rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kanios is teaching compositions and methods for the topical administration of active agents to a mammal, in particular, anesthesia and local anesthetic agents.

Applicant states that Kanios describes its compositions as "flexible, finite, bioadhesive compositions for topical application", defining finite as non-spreading. Applicant is correct in stating that the invention of Kanios is essentially about topical application by a flexible or adhesive composition. However, it is stated that preferred embodiments do not teach away from a broader disclosure. See In re Susi. As mentioned in previous Responses and Office Actions, Kanios is teaching other forms of compositions including liquid sprays. Applicants attention is drawn to column 9, lines 19-27, where Kanios recites "For example, in ONE embodiment, the anesthetic agents are dissolved in a solvent.....and then added to an adhesive .In ANOTHER embodiment, the resulting mixture is in cream, gel...., spray solution or other non-finite composition....". Also in column 10, lines 57-65, Kanios discloses that ".....when a nonfinite carrier such as an ointment, gel, lotion...or spray-solution is used".

Art Unit: 1616

It is further noted that the instant claims are "composition" claims, and the product's properties are considered inherent. Therefor if Kanios is disclosing a formulation containing the same ingredients as the instant claims are reciting, then it is taken that both formulations will be absorbed systemically once administered to the oral mucosa. It is also noted that "for transmucosal absorption" is considered intended use and is not given weight during examination. Kanios, therefor, teaches the formulations and the method of administration.

With regards to Singer's reference, Applicant argues that the formulations of Singer do not remedy the deficiencies in Kanios. The reasoning is that Kanios is disclosing a finite composition and not a sprayable solution. As mentioned above, Kanios <u>does</u> disclose solutions for spraying and teaches mucosal absorption and application.

Applicant argues that that there is no motivation to combine the disclosures of Kanios and Singer. Kanios is clearly teaching a spray solution formulation containing an active, a solvent and an additive such as flavoring agent, which may be administered to the oral mucosa. Singer is teaching formulations in a spray solution form which include an active, a solvent and a flavoring agent such as oil of peppermint, and discloses suitable concentration ranges for the flavoring agents. Clearly one of ordinary skill in the art, given the formulations of Kanios would be motivated to look in the art for specific flavoring agents and a suitable concentration range because both formulations are

Art Unit: 1616

sprayed in the oral cavity and flavor in such formulations is an important factor in patient compliance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mina Haghighatian whose telephone number is 571-272-0615. The examiner can normally be reached on core office hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mina Haghighatian

December 22, 2004

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER